Reinforcement Learning

Lecture 5 Applications

Nan Ye

School of Mathematics and Physics The University of Queensland

Roadmap

∙ Introduction and overview

motivation, bandits, big picture

∙ Classical ideas

temporal difference methods, policy gradient, . . .

∙ Deep Reinforcement learning

neural networks, DQN, DDPG, . . .

∙ Advanced techniques

representation learning, stabilization, few-shot learning

∙ Applications

AlphaGo, AlphaTensor, . . .

Deep Q-Networks (DQN) for Atari Games

Alien

Asterix

recall...

<https://gymnasium.farama.org/environments/atari/>

AlphaGo

source: [DeepMind](https://deepmind.google/technologies/alphago)

first AI to beat a professional Go player, w/o handicap, on 19×19 board

Domains

Knowledge

AlphaGo becomes the first program to master Go using neural networks and tree search (Jan 2016, Nature)

AlphaGo Zero learns to play completely on its own, without human knowledge (Oct 2017, Nature)

AlphaZero masters three perfect information games using a single algorithm for all games (Dec 2018, Science)

source: [DeepMind](https://deepmind.google/discover/blog/muzero-mastering-go-chess-shogi-and-atari-without-rules/)

from AlphaGo to MuZero

AlphaGo Zero (Silver et al., [2017\)](#page-21-0)

superhuman GO AI trained by self-play, w game rules, w/o human knowledge loop(collect experiences by self-play + incremental updates of a policy & value network f_θ)

self-play... of an *improved* version of self

each move given by an improved policy MCTS*f* obtained by performing MCTS with the guidance of *f*

policy & value network

$$
(p, v) = f_{\theta}(s),
$$

maps a position *s* to move probabilities *p* and value *v* (+: winning; -: losing).

MCTS policy improvement

source: (Silver et al., [2017\)](#page-21-0)

MCTS grows the search tree in an optimistic manner, using f_{θ} to evaluate leaf nodes

experience collection by self-play

experiences from one game: $(s_1, q_1, z_1), (s_2, q_2, z_2), \ldots$

 $q_t = \textsf{MCTS}_{f_\theta}(s_t)$ are the move probabilites given by the improved policy the move for s_t is sampled from the move distribution q_t

 $z_t = -1$ if the player at *t* lose in the end, $z_t = +1$ otherwise

incremental learning

perform gradient descent minimize loss on random mini-batch of experiences

$$
L(\theta, (s, q, z)) = (z - v)^2 - q^{\top} \ln p + c||\theta||_2^2, \text{ where } (q, v) = f_{\theta}(s)
$$

source: (Silver et al., [2017\)](#page-21-0)

AlphaGo Lee: defeated Lee Sedol in Mar 2016; AlphaGo Master: defeated top human players by 60-0 in Jan 2017

learning curve for AlphaGo Zero

neural network with 84 parameterized layers 29 million games of self-play over 40 days on a single machine with 4 TPUs

what has AlphaGo Zero learned?

"AlphaGo Zero discovered a remarkable level of Go knowledge during its self-play training process. This included not only fundamental elements of human Go knowledge, but also non-standard strategies beyond the scope of traditional Go knowledge." (Silver et al., [2017\)](#page-21-0)

AlphaTensor

recall...

learning fast matrix multiplication

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fDAPJ7rvcUw>

what's the time complexity for multiplying two matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$?

standard algorithm: *O*(*n* 3)

Strassen's algorithm: $O(n^{2.8074})$ *7 multiplications when n* = 2*, best possible used in practice for large matrices exists asymptotically better algorithms (record = O*(*n* ².371552)*), but galactic*

AlphaTensor: $O(n^{2.778})$ for matrices in finite filed \mathbb{Z}_2 (Fawzi et al., [2022\)](#page-21-1) *outperforms Strassen's algorithm in practice!*

discovering matrix multiplication as sequential decision making

Step 1. matrix multiplication = a 3D tensor *T*

Step 2. low-rank decomposition of $T = a$ multiplication algorithm

Step 3. search for a low-rank decomposition \in sequential decision making

Step 1. matrix multiplication = a 3D tensor *T*

exists an $n^2 \times n^2 \times n^2$ tensor $\mathcal T,$ for any $n \times n$ matrices $\mathcal A, \mathcal B, \mathcal C,$

$$
C = AB \quad \text{equivalent to} \quad c_k = \sum_{i,j} T_{ijk} a_i b_j, \quad \forall k.
$$

intuitively, Tijk = 1 *if the product of the i -th entry of A and the j -th entry of B is a term in the kth entry of* $C = AB$.

e.g., $T_{(1,2),(2,3),(1,3)} = 1$, $T_{(1,2),(1,3),(1,3)} = 0$

Step 2. low-rank decompsition of $T =$ a multiplication algorithm

$$
T = \sum_{r=1}^{R} u^{(r)} \otimes v^{(r)} \otimes w^{(r)} \implies c_k = \sum_{r=1}^{R} w_k^{(r)} A^{(r)} B^{(r)}, \text{ where}
$$

$$
A^{(r)} = \sum_{i} u_i^{(r)} a_i, \quad B^{(r)} = \sum_{j} v_j^{(r)} b_j
$$

algorithm: compute each $A^{(r)}$, $B^{(r)}$ and $C^{(r)} = A^{(r)}B^{(r)}$ first, then compute each c_k

complexity:
$$
\leq \underbrace{2Rn^2}_{n^2 \text{ for each } A^{(r)}, B^{(r)}} + \underbrace{R}_{1 \text{ for each } C^{(r)}} + \underbrace{Rn^2}_{R \text{ for each } c_k} = 3Rn^2 + R \text{ multiplications.}
$$

make it faster:

many zeros or ones in $u^{(r)} \Rightarrow$ *computing A^(r) takes far fewer than* $n^2 \times$ *many zeros or ones in* $v^{(r)} \Rightarrow$ *computing B^(r) takes far fewer than* $n^2 \times$ *zeros or ones in* $w^{(r)} \Rightarrow$ *computing* c_k *takes fewer than* $2R \times$

 \setminus

$$
c_3 = C^{(2)} + C^{(4)}
$$

$$
c_4 = C^{(1)} - C^{(2)} + C^{(3)} + C^{(6)}
$$

0
$$
\times
$$
's for $A^{(r)}$, $B^{(r)}$ and c_k , only 7 \times 's for $C^{(r)}$

Step 3. search for a low-rank decomposition ∈ **sequential decision making**

search for a low-rank decomposition by subtracting one rank-1 tensor at a time

Algorithm Simulating a policy π_{θ} for TensorGame

1: Initial state $S_0 = T$ 2: **for** $t = 0$ to M do 3: Sample an action (u, v, w) from a policy $\pi_{\theta}(S_t)$ 4: Transition to next state $S_{t+1} = S_t - u \otimes v \otimes w$
5: **if** $S_{t+1} = 0$ then **if** $S_{t+1} = 0$ **then** 6: break; //an exact decomposition has been found 7: Apply a penalty = $\begin{cases} -1, & t < M, \\ 0, & M \end{cases}$ $-\gamma(S_{t+1}), \quad t \leq M,$ where $\gamma(S_{t+1})$ is an upper bound on the rank of S_{t+1}

note the state, action, transition, and reward

Some tricks in implementation

- ∙ Entries of *u*, *v*, *w* vectors are constrained to take values from a small set of integers, so as to guarantee an exact decomposition that can be provably verified.
- ∙ A policy and value network: transformer, invariance to permutation of slices.
- ∙ Synthetic demonstrations (generated tensor decompositions)
- ∙ Random change of basis of *T*
- ∙ Data augmentation (generate new examples by changing order of summation)

These Lectures

Reinforcement Learning (RL)

Goals

- ∙ cover mathematical & algorithmic foundation
- ∙ in-depth look at a few cool applications
- ∙ develop basic practical skills

The End, The Beginning

∙ Introduction and overview

origin, applications, regret min for bandits, RL loop, four dimensions, three problems

∙ Classical ideas

MDPs, Gym, value iteration, Q-learning, SARSA, REINFORCE, model-based RL

∙ Deep Reinforcement learning

ANNs in 5 mins, DQN, Rainbow DQN, DDPG, RL software

∙ Advanced techniques

representation learning (CURL, SPR), stabilization (TRPO, PPO), few-shot learning

∙ Applications

AlphaGo Zero, AlphaTensor

many more not covered, numerous new things, see NeurIPS, ICML, ICLR, . . .

try the ideas out when it comes to decision-making

References I

Fawzi, A. et al. (2022). Discovering faster matrix multiplication algorithms with reinforcement learning. In: *Nature* 610.7930, pp. 47–53. \blacksquare Silver, D. et al. (2016). Mastering the game of Go with deep neural networks and tree search. In: *Nature* 529.7587, pp. 484–489. \blacksquare Silver, D. et al. (2017). Mastering the game of Go without human knowledge. In: *Nature* 550.7676, p. 354.