#### Interpretable Machine Learning

#### Nan Ye

School of Mathematics and Physics The University of Queensland

### Where Are We Heading to?

#### How to build good ML models

- ∙ Making use of a crowd ⇒ Week 7 Ensemble methods each of us is a biological prediction model trained on different datasets...
- ∙ Using a neural network ⇒ Week 8 and 9 Neural networks brain-inspired models, some are good for images...
- ∙ Making a robust model ⇒ Week 10 Robust machine learning malicious users, outliers,...
- ∙ Asking for explanations ⇒ Week 11 Interpretable machine learning ...let's ask the machines for explanations...
- ∙ Exploiting prior beliefs ⇒ Week 12 Bayesian methods

### Interpretability



Everybody has his own interpretation of a machine learning algorithm painting he sees... Francis Bacon

#### What is an interpretation?

- ∙ An interpretation connects the abstract/unfamiliar to the obvious/familiar.
- ∙ Not new in this course.



- ∙ Many other ways of interpreting machine learning algorithms have been created  $-$  we are good at coming up with interpretations.
- Interpretations help come up with explanations for the predictions.

#### $Interpretation = Misinterpretation?$

∙ Each interpretation often tells us part of the truth, and we may need to use several methods to form a more complete picture.



∙ An algorithm designed to generate helpful interpretations may produce misinterpretations — understanding how it works helps us to avoid misinterpreting its output.

### Interpretable Machine Learning

- ∙ We want to find intuitive descriptions for
	- $\blacksquare$  the functional relationship represented by a model
	- each component of a model
	- effect of each input variable
	- ...
- ∙ Intuitive: visualizations, numerical summary, simple rules, ...
- ∙ Interpretations sometimes help explaining why a model makes a prediction.
- ∙ We discuss some interpretation methods and how they can be applied in this lecture.

### Approaches

- ∙ Various approaches have been taken to make machine learning models interpretable, and they can be categorized in various ways.
- ∙ Built-in vs post hoc
	- Built-in: models are designed to be interpretable (e.g. linear regression)
	- **Post hoc: models are analyzed for interpretability (e.g. permutation** importance)
- ∙ White-box vs black-box
	- **Nhite-box:** everything about the model is needed (e.g. linear regression model weights)
	- Black-box: only partial information about the model is needed (e.g. permutation importance)
- ∙ Model specific vs model agnostic
	- **Model-specific: designed for specific models only (e.g. linear** regression model weights)
	- Model-agnostic: designed for generic learning approaches (e.g. permutation importance)
- ∙ We will cover some basic methods
	- **n** Interpretable models: linear regression, logistic regression, decision trees
	- Surrogate model method
	- Variable importance: Gini importance, permutation importance
	- **Low dimensional approximation**

### Interpretable Models

∙ More flexible/complex models often have better performance, but typically harder to interpret

interpretability flexibility lin<sub>ear models</sub> decision trees SLMs random forests neural newslet

∙ For a long time, interpretable models like linear models are strongly preferred.

### Interpreting Linear Models

- ∙ We have seen a number of linear models in this course ■ Linear regression, logistic regression, SVM with linear kernels
- ∙ Linear models are simple and their parameters often have easily interpretable meanings

#### Linear regression

• A linear regression model  $f_w(x)$  has the form

$$
f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}) = w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d w_i x_i,
$$

where  ${\bf w} = (w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_d)$ , and  ${\bf x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ .

- ∙ Interpretation of the parameters
	- **bias**  $w_0$ : output when all features are 0
	- weight  $w_i$ : change in the output when  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}_i$  increases by one unit

#### ∙ Boston house prices again: predict median house price in a using 13 features

- CRIM per capita crime rate by town<br>- ZN proportion of residential land
- ZN proportion of residential land zoned for lots over 25,000 sq.ft.<br>- INDUS proportion of non-retail business acres per town
- INDUS proportion of non-retail business acres per town<br>- CHAS Charles River dummy variable (= 1 if tract bound
- CHAS Charles River dummy variable (= 1 if tract bounds river; 0 otherwise)<br>- NOX pitric oxides concentration (parts per 10 million)
- NOX nitric oxides concentration (parts per 10 million)<br>- RM swerage number of rooms per dwelling
- RM average number of rooms per dwelling<br>- AGE proportion of owner-occupied units by
- AGE proportion of owner-occupied units built prior to 1940<br>- DIS serighted distances to five Boston employment centres
- DIS weighted distances to five Boston employment centres<br>- RAD index of accessibility to radial bighyays
- RAD index of accessibility to radial highways<br>- TAX full-value property-tax rate per \$10,000
- full-value property-tax rate per \$10,000
- PTRATIO pupil-teacher ratio by town<br>- B  $1000(Rk 0.63)$  where Rk
- $1000$ (Bk 0.63)<sup>2</sup> where Bk is the proportion of blacks by town
- LSTAT % lower status of the population<br>- MEDV Median value of owner-occupied he
- Median value of owner-occupied homes in \$1000's
- ∙ Which features are important to you?



● Generally, weights  $\neq$  importance

∙ Weights of normalized features are much better indicators of feature importances



- Normalization: scale each feature so that it has unit variance, then train a linear regression model
- Magnitude measures importance
- Sign reflects whether it has a positive or negative effect
- Weight of normalized feature  $=$  weight of unnormalized feature  $\times$ feature standard deviation
- Thus weight of normalized feature is a kind of normalized weight

#### (Binary) Logistic regression

∙ A binary logistic regression model defines a conditional class distribution

$$
p(y \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-y(w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d w_i x_i)}},
$$

where  $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_d)$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ , and  $y \in \{0, 1\}$ .

∙ Equivalently, the log-odds is linear

$$
\ln \frac{p(y=1 \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})}{p(y=0 \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})} = w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d w_i x_i.
$$

- ∙ Interpretation of the parameters
	- **bias**  $w_0$ : log-odds when all features are 0
	- weight  $w_i$ : change in the log-odds when  $x_i$  increases by one unit
- ∙ As in linear regression, the weights generally do not measure the importance of the features.
- However, the weights of the normalized features are much better indicators of feature importances.

### Interpreting Decision Trees

- ∙ Decision trees can be converted into a set of rules
	- Each rule correspond to each path from the root to a leaf
- ∙ The rules can often be simplified (e.g. test conditions on the same feature can often be combined).

∙ A decision tree for iris data



- **■** E.g.: petal width  $\leq$  0.8 cm  $\Rightarrow$  setosa (in fact, we can replace  $\Rightarrow$  by iff)
- **E.g.: petal width ∈ (0.8 cm, 1.65 cm) and petal length**  $\leq$  **4.95 cm**  $\Rightarrow$  **versicolor** (simplified from the path from root to the left-most green leaf)

### Interpreting Complex Models

- ∙ For complex models, it is often hard (if not impossible) to interpret what they are doing by examining their internals.
- ∙ We can interpret them by querying their input-output relationships to find
	- surrogate interpretable models
	- variable importance scores

### Surrogate Model Method

- A surrogate model M' for a given model M is one trained to fit the predictions of M on a dataset.
- ∙ The dataset is chosen depending on what you consider interesting
	- $\blacksquare$  training set, test set, or a subset of them
	- a grid of points
- ∙ The surrogate model is chosen to be an interpretable model
- ∙ An interpretable model is simpler, and thus such a surrogate model inevitably oversimplies the original model.

#### Variable Importance

- ∙ We often have various ways to measure how much importance a model assigns to a variable
	- **E** each metric only looks at a specific aspect of the model
	- sometimes the metrics may present conflicting pictures
- ∙ Many variable importance scores are model-specific (e.g. the normalized weights for a linear regression model).
- ∙ The permutation importance is a model-agnostic importance score.

#### Permutation importance

- ∙ Given: a dataset D, a model M, a performance score
- ∙ Computing the permutation importance of a variable in M
	- $\blacksquare$  calculate the score s of M on D
	- **create multiple permuted datasets**  $D_1, \ldots, D_k$ 
		- $\triangleright$  each is the same as D except that the values of the variable for all the instances are permuted
	- **Example 1** calculate the score  $s_i$  of M on  $D_i$  for each i
	- calculate the mean  $\bar{s}$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$  of  $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ .
	- permutation importance: mean =  $s \overline{s}$ , std =  $\sigma$ .
- ∙ The permutation importance is a random number.

# Variable Importance for Linear Regression

∙ Comparison of two variable importance scores on the Boston house price dataset



- To make the two importance scores comparable, the scores are scaled such that the maximum is 1.
- While the two scores are generally different, they rank the features similarly.

# Variable Importance for Random Forest

#### Gini importance

- ∙ Random forest has a model-specific variable importance score known as the Gini importance.
- ∙ The Gini importance of a variable is the total weighted decrease in node impurities from splitting on the variable, averaged over all trees.
	- **The weight is the number of examples involved in impurity decrease.**
	- For classification, node impurity is the Gini index.
	- For regression, node impurity is the residual sum of squares.
- ∙ In an implementation, Gini importances may be normalized so that the sum of the importances of all variables sum to 1.

∙ Comparison of two variable importance scores on the Boston house price dataset



- To make the two importance scores comparable, the scores are scaled such that the maximum is 1.
- $\blacksquare$  The two scores are somewhat similar, but they differ significant for the top 2 features.
- ∙ Random forest and linear regression assign very different importance scores to the features.
- ∙ The importance scores are not the intrinsic importance scores of the features, but measures how important the models consider the features to be.

### Low-dimensional Approximation

∙ For low dimensional data, we can directly visualize the functional relationship represented by a model



- ∙ For high dimensional data, we can approximately visualize the funtional relationship by performing dimension reduction first.
	- **PCA** is one way to do dimension reduction, but there are other ways, such as t-SNE, autoencoders (not covered in this course)



#### PCA and autoencoder codes for MNIST

Hinton and Salakhutdinov, Reducing the dimensionality of data with neural networks, 2006

### Checking Your Understanding

Which of the following statement is correct? (Multiple choice)

- (a) A more flexible model is generally easier to interpret.
- $(b)$  If two features are identical, then they always get the same importance scores.
- (c) A post hoc interpretation method can only be applied to a black-box model.

### What You Need to Know

- ∙ An interpretation
	- $\blacksquare$  connects the abstract/unfamiliar to the obvious/familiar.
	- often tells part of the truth
- ∙ Approaches to make machine learning models interpretable
	- Built-in vs post hoc, white-box vs black-box, model-specific vs model-agnostic
- ∙ Some basic methods
	- **n** interpretable models, surrogate method, variable importance, low dimensional approximation