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## This Lecture

- Fisher scoring for GLM
- Properties of MLE
- GLM with canonical link


## Fisher Scoring for GLM

## Recall: Fisher scoring

- A general algorithm for finding an MLE.
- Start with some $\beta^{(0)}$. At iteration $t \geq 0$,

$$
\beta^{(t+1)}=\beta^{(t)}+I^{-1}\left(\beta^{(t)}\right) \nabla \ell\left(\beta^{(t)}\right)
$$

where $I(\beta)=-\mathbb{E} \nabla^{2} \ell(\beta)$ (known as Fisher information).

## Log-likelihood for GLM

- Given training data $\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}, y_{n}\right)$, our objective is to maximize the log-likelihood

$$
\ell(\beta)=\sum_{i} \ln p\left(y_{i} \mid \mathbf{x}_{i}, \beta\right)
$$

- Recall: $p(y \mid \mathbf{x}, \beta)$ can be explicitly computed as

$$
p(y \mid \mathbf{x}, \beta)=\exp \left(\frac{\eta y-A(\eta)}{b(\phi)}+c(y, \phi)\right)
$$

where $\eta=A^{\prime-1}\left(g^{-1}\left(\beta^{\top} \mathbf{x}\right)\right)$.
We use the natural statistics here (i.e., we assume $T(y)=y$.).

## Fisher scoring for GLM

- Let $\mu_{i}=\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{i} \mid \mathbf{x}_{i}, \beta\right)=g^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top} \beta\right)$ and $V_{i}=\operatorname{var}\left(Y_{i} \mid \mathbf{x}_{i}, \beta\right)$.
- The gradient, or score function, is

$$
\nabla \ell(\beta)=\sum_{i} \frac{y_{i}-\mu_{i}}{g^{\prime}\left(\mu_{i}\right) V_{i}} \mathbf{x}_{i}
$$

- The Fisher information is

$$
I(\beta)=\sum_{i} \frac{1}{g^{\prime}\left(\mu_{i}\right)^{2} V_{i}} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top}
$$

No specific parametrization of the exponential family is required. Choose whichever is more convenient for computing the variances.

## Interpretation

- Gradient is a linear combination of input $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ 's.

Weight of $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ is

- proportional to $y_{i}-\mu_{i}$ (mean's quality as a predictor),
- inversely proportional to $V_{i}$ (variance of the response),
- proportional to $\frac{1}{g^{\prime}\left(\mu_{i}\right)}=\frac{d \mu_{i}}{d\left(x_{i}^{\top} \beta\right)}$ (rate of change of mean in the linear predictor).
- Fisher information is a linear combination of $\mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top}$ 's.

Weight of $\mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top}$ is

- inversely proportional to $V_{i}$,
- proportional to $\frac{1}{g^{\prime}\left(\mu_{i}\right)^{2}}$.


## Example 1. Ordinary least squares

- Recall: $Y_{i} \stackrel{i n d}{\sim} N\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top} \beta, \sigma^{2}\right)$.
- We have $\mu_{i}=\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top} \beta, V_{i}=\sigma^{2}, g(\mu)=\mu, g^{\prime}(\mu)=1$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \ell(\beta) & =\sum_{i} \frac{y_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top} \beta}{\sigma^{2}} \mathbf{x}_{i}=\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \beta\right) \\
I(\beta) & =\sum \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\top}=\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{X}$ is the design matrix.

- For any $\beta^{(0)}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta^{(1)} & =\beta^{(0)}+\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \beta^{(0)}\right)\right) \\
& =\beta^{(0)}+\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{y}-\beta^{(0)} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

- This is exactly the MLE that we are familiar with.
- Thus the MLE is found after one Fisher scoring iteration.


## Derivation

- It suffices to work out the case with one example ( $\mathbf{x}, y$ ),

$$
\ell(\beta)=\ln p(y \mid \mathbf{x}, \beta),
$$

and then applying a summation over the examples to obtain the general case.

- For the gradient, using the chain rule,

$$
\nabla \ell(\beta)=\frac{d \ell}{d \eta} \nabla \eta(\beta)=\frac{y-\mu}{b(\phi)} \nabla \eta(\beta)
$$

To find $\nabla \eta(\beta)$, differentiate $g\left(A^{\prime}(\eta)\right)=g(\mu)=\mathbf{x}^{\top} \beta$

$$
g^{\prime}\left(A^{\prime}(\eta)\right) A^{\prime \prime}(\eta) \nabla \eta(\beta)=\mathbf{x}
$$

Hence we have $\nabla \eta(\beta)=\frac{1}{g^{\prime}(\mu) A^{\prime \prime}(\eta)} \mathbf{x}$, and thus

$$
\nabla \ell(\beta)=\frac{y-\mu}{b(\phi)} \frac{1}{g^{\prime}(\mu) A^{\prime \prime}(\eta)} \mathbf{x}=\frac{y-\mu}{g^{\prime}(\mu) V} \mathbf{x}
$$

where $V=\operatorname{var}(Y \mid \mathbf{x}, \beta)=b(\phi) A^{\prime \prime}(\eta)$.

- For Fisher information, differentiate $\nabla \ell(\beta)$ using the product rule
$\nabla^{2} \ell(\beta)=\frac{1}{g^{\prime}(\mu) A^{\prime \prime}(\eta)} \mathbf{x} \nabla^{\top}\left(\frac{y-\mu}{b(\phi)}\right)+\frac{y-\mu}{b(\phi)} \nabla^{\top}\left(\frac{1}{g^{\prime}(\mu) A^{\prime \prime}(\eta)} \mathbf{x}\right)$
Using $\nabla(y-\mu)=-\nabla \mu$ and $\mathbb{E}(y-\mu)=0$, we have

$$
I(\beta)=\mathbb{E}\left(-\nabla^{2} \ell(\beta)\right)=\frac{1}{g^{\prime}(\mu) b(\phi) A^{\prime \prime}(\eta)} \times \nabla^{\top} \mu(\beta)
$$

To find $\nabla \mu(\beta)$, differentiate $g(\mu)=\mathbf{x}^{\top} \beta$

$$
g^{\prime}(\mu) \nabla \mu(\beta)=\mathbf{x}
$$

Hence $\nabla \mu(\beta)=\frac{1}{g^{\prime}(\mu)} \mathbf{x}$, thus

$$
I(\beta)=\frac{1}{g^{\prime}(\mu)^{2} b(\phi) A^{\prime \prime}(\eta)} \mathbf{x x}^{\top}=\frac{1}{g^{\prime}(\mu)^{2} V} \mathbf{x x}^{\top}
$$

## Matrix form

- Let $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{\mu}=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right), \mathbf{X}$ be the design matrix,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{W} & =\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{g^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}\right)^{2} V_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{g^{\prime}\left(\mu_{n}\right)^{2} V_{n}}\right), \\
\mathbf{G} & =\operatorname{diag}\left(g^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}\right), \ldots, g^{\prime}\left(\mu_{n}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \ell(\beta) & =\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{G} \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{G} \boldsymbol{\mu}) \\
I(\beta) & =\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Thus Fisher scoring updates $\beta$ to $\beta^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta^{\prime} & =\beta+\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{G} \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{G} \boldsymbol{\mu}) \\
& =\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{G} \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{G} \boldsymbol{\mu}+\mathbf{X} \beta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fisher scoring as IRLS

- Let $\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{G y}-\mathbf{G} \boldsymbol{\mu}+\mathbf{X} \beta$, then Fisher scoring update is

$$
\beta^{\prime}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{z},
$$

- $\beta^{\prime}$ is the solution of the weighted least squares problem

$$
\min _{\tilde{\beta}}(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{X} \tilde{\beta})^{\top} \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{X} \tilde{\beta}) .
$$

- Fisher scoring is thus an instance of iteratively reweighted least squares (IRLS) algorithm.


## Properties of MLE

## Assumption

The model is well-specified, that is, each $y_{i}$ is independently drawn from $p\left(Y \mid \mathbf{x}_{i}, \beta^{*}\right)$, that is, the GLM with parameter $\beta^{*}$.

## Asymptotic normality

Under appropriate regularity conditions, the MLE $\hat{\beta}$ is asymptotically normally distributed with mean $\beta^{*}$, and covariance $I^{-1}\left(\beta^{*}\right)$.
$I(\beta)$ is linear in $n$, thus the entries of the covariance matrix is of the order $1 / n$.

## Confidence interval

A marginal $1-\alpha$ confidence interval for $\beta_{i}$ is given by

$$
\hat{\beta}_{i} \pm z_{\alpha / 2} \sigma_{i}
$$

where $\sigma_{i}=\sqrt{I^{-1}\left(\beta^{*}\right)_{i i}}$. This is approximated by

$$
\hat{\beta}_{i} \pm z_{\alpha / 2} \hat{\sigma}_{i}
$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_{i}=\sqrt{I^{-1}(\hat{\beta})_{i i}}$.

## Testing significance of effect

- We want to test whether the $i$-th covariate has a significant effect

$$
H_{0} \quad \beta_{i}^{*}=0, \quad H_{1} \quad \beta_{i}^{*} \neq 0 .
$$

- Under $H_{0}$, the Wald statistic $T=\frac{\hat{\beta}_{i}}{\hat{\sigma}_{i}}$ is asymptotically standard normal

$$
T \sim N(0,1) .
$$

- At significance level $\alpha$, reject $H_{0}$ iff $|T| \geq z_{\alpha / 2}$.


## Remark

- With a mis-specified model, asymptotic normality still holds, but the mean and the covariance matrix of the asymptotic distribution now depend on both the model class and the unknown true distribution.
- The confidence interval and the distribution of Wald's statistics cannot be computed, and can only be applied (with caution) if the model is not too much away from reality.


## GLM with Canonical Link

## Motivation

- For OLS and logistic regression, both have the linear predictor $\mathbf{x}^{\top} \beta$ as the natural parameter.
- GLMs with this property are mathematically appealing to work with.


## Canonical link

- A link function $g(\cdot)$ is called a canonical link if $g(\mu)=\eta$, that is, $\eta=\beta^{\top} \mathbf{x}$.
- For a natural exponential family, the canonical link is $A^{\prime-1}$.
- A GLM using a canonical link can be written down as

$$
p(y \mid \mathbf{x}, \beta)=\exp \left(\frac{y \mathbf{x}^{\top} \beta-A\left(\mathbf{x}^{\top} \beta\right)}{b(\phi)}+c(y, \phi)\right)
$$

where $A$ is from the natural form of the exponential family.

## Examples

## Exponential family Canonical link GLM

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { Normal } & g(\mu)=\mu & \text { OLS } \\
\text { Poisson } & g(\mu)=\ln \mu & \text { Poisson regression } \\
\text { Binomial } & g(\mu)=\ln \left(\frac{\mu}{1-\mu}\right) & \text { Logistic regression } \\
\text { Gamma } & g(\mu)=\mu^{-1} &
\end{array}
$$

## Remark

- The form of GLM with canonical link is mathematically convenient.
- However, it does not imply that canonical link necessarily leads to a better model.


## What You Need to Know

- Fisher scoring for GLMs update rule, interpretation, example, derivation, matrix form, IRLS
- Properties of MLE when model is well-specified, and when model is mis-specified
- Models with canonical links
mathematically convenient, but not necessarily a better model.

